## Ехнівіт Ү

1		
2		
3	IN RE: ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF READING BROADCASTING,	TMC
4	SHAREHOLDERS OF READING BROADCASTING,	INC.
5		
6		
7		
8	``	
9	Stenographic Report of Meeting held at the offices of WTVE Channel	51
10	1729 North 11th Street Reading, Pennsylvania	J.
11	Vedding, Lennightaduid	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	6:07 p.m. Tuesday,	
17	February 4, 1992	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	Monick Court Reporters, Inc. By: Lori A. Lauffer-Dilks, RPR	
24	1413 Old Mill Road  Wyomissing, Pennsylvania 19610	
25	my dinaddagnigy - Carindy a fairead - Addad	

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. PARKER: I am going to start because we have some administrative things, and I assume there are several others who will be attending, but we can get those out of the way.

First of all, I will call the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Reading Broadcasting, Inc. to order and indicate, according to the bylaws, it is the proper date and time and place for such a meeting. Then I am going to call the roll to determine who is present and able to vote, and then Mr. Distasio, Roland & Schlegel, has a statement to put in the record.

MR. DISTASIO: Can I make my statement now?

MR. PARKER: If you prefer to do that, that is fine with me. Before you do that, however, I intend to put in the record my objection to your appearance as representing Reading Broadcasting because I don't want to pay you for being here.

On October 23rd, 1991 it came to my attention that Mr. Raymond C. Schlegel, Esquire, of the law firm of Roland & Schlegel, was attempting to be hired by Dr. Aurandt and Jack Linton -- actually, by Dr. Aurandt -- to serve as his legal counsel, but

that they were trying to hire him as legal counsel for Reading Broadcasting but have him do the work that would be done by an attorney for Dr. Aurandt rather than for the Corporation.

1.

On that day I FAXed a letter to Mr. Schlegel informing him that, under the management contract of Partel, Inc., I had the veto power over any expenditures made by the Corporation. In that letter, which I will make a part of this record, I clearly indicated that I had not in the past, would not at that time, nor would I in the future, approve of any expenditure to be made by the Corporation to the law firm of Roland & Schlegel; that they were not corporate counsel of the Corporation, had never been hired by Resolution of the Board of Directors, and if the Board were to do that I would veto it.

Many of you -- and, in fact, I think all of you who are present here today were here at a shareholders meeting where I made the same statement to Mr. Schlegel. And at that meeting we removed all of the existing directors and hired a new Board of Directors. After that meeting the new Board of Directors met, removed all of the officers and elected myself as president and Marvin Mercer as Secretary. They passed a new Corporate Banking

Resolution, authorized new signatures for the signature cards, and the following morning at 8:30 a.m. -- in fact, I think it was about 8:15 a.m. -- we went as a group to Meridian Bank and presented the bank with the new signature cards.

At about 9:15 to 9:30 that morning Dr.

Aurandt and Mr. Schlegel appeared at Meridian Bank -at one of the branches of Meridian Bank. Dr.

Aurandt, who had moved funds from the regular
corporate account, unbeknownst to me, to a new
account he established in the name of Reading

Broadcasting and had stopped payment on all of our
outstanding checks to creditors, wrote a check to
Meridian Bank for \$9,003 which purchased a cashier's
check made out to Roland & Schlegel for \$9,000, and
that cashier's check was signed by Mr. Schlegel

I have informed Mr. Schlegel on numerous occasions that he participated in the misappropriation of funds from this corporation. He may well believe otherwise. He is represented — his law firm is represented here and undoubtedly will represent to you that they are the corporate legal counsel of Reading Broadcasting. They are here to make a statement which I am going to allow them to make for the record, but I want it clearly understood

that it is the position — at least of myself and, I believe, of the Board of Directors that they will attempt to recover the \$9,000 from Roland & Schlegel, and I have formally requested your law firm to return those funds.

Now, with that, Mr. Anthony Distasio,
Roland & Schlegel, has some objections, I believe, to
this meeting.

MR. DISTASIO: Yes. It's basically the same statement that Mr. Schlegel read at the last meeting, but I will read it anyway. Statement for the Purpose of Objecting to the Transaction of any Business at an Alleged Shareholders Meeting.

I am Tony Distasio of the law firm of Roland & Schlegel, and we are corporate counsel for Reading Broadcasting, Inc. I am attending this meeting for the sole purpose of objecting, at the beginning of the meeting, to the transaction of any business because the meeting was not lawfully called or convened. This objection is being made pursuant to Section 1705(b) of the Business Corporation Law of 1988 and Article IX, Section 4 of the Bylaws of the Corporation.

We are objecting to the calling and the holding of a claimed shareholders meeting because at

the present time there are no shareholders in Reading Broadcasting, Inc. The interest of the shareholders in the Corporation, under the terms of the amended sixth modification of the Corporation's fourth amended Plan of Reorganization, became null and void on September 17, 1991, the effective date of the Plan.

On September 14, 1991 the last meeting of the shareholders of the Corporation was held, at which time a new Board of Directors was elected by a two-thirds majority. The new Board was comprised of the prior Board plus the filling of one vacancy.

Following that shareholders meeting, the Board of Directors of the Corporation met and approved the termination of the Management Services Agreement between the Corporation and Partel, Inc. by reason of the intentional misfeasance of Michael Parker, an officer of Partel, Inc., in the performance of his duties under the Agreement. By reason of that termination, any attempted issuance of stock by Mr. Parker after September 14, 1991 was completely without authority and, therefore, null and void. For the same reason, the call of or any notice given by Mr. Parker of this meeting was a nullity.

In addition, even assuming that the

contract had not been then terminated, the duties and authority of Partel related only to managerial, operational, consulting and other services necessary to manage and operate the Station. The authority of Partel did not extend to such fundamental corporate matters as the issuance of stock certificates. This was recognized in Paragraph 6.a. of the Partel Agreement.

The issuance of stock certificates in this Corporation has been customarily performed by the president and the Secretary of the Corporation. In this connection, the bylaws require that the stock certificates are to have the corporate seal affixed, which seal has always been in the custody of Mr. Linton, the Secretary of the Corporation.

The shares claimed to have been issued to you as putative shareholders were allocated by Mr. Parker in a fashion to skew the voting power of the shareholders of the Corporation in favor of Partel, Inc. and against the former shareholders of the Corporation.

For all of the foregoing reasons, we object to the transaction of any business at this meeting and at any meeting of any directors elected at this meeting. Should you, notwithstanding this

objection, proceed with the transaction of any business, the present duly constituted officers and directors of the Corporation will take appropriate action in the appropriate forum to enjoin any attempt to act on the basis of this invalid and unlawfully convened meeting.

Finally, an adversary proceeding, lawsuit, was filed in Bankruptcy Court today alleging, among other things, that the shares have not been properly issued to all the parties in the correct number. Although the Honorable Thomas M. Twardowski, Bankruptcy Judge, would not grant our request to stop this meeting, he suggested that a Court Stenographer be present today.

In addition, myself, Attorney Mercer, counsel for Mr. Parker, are to report to Judge
Twardowski on Thursday to discuss this matter. Judge
Twardowski has also offered his services in an attempt to resolve the entire matter without undo expense to the Corporation.

Thank you.

MR. MERCER: Tony, I have a question.

You said Attorney Mercer; that's comma, counsel for

Mr. Parker. It's not the same person.

MR. DISTASIO: That's correct.

MR. PARKER: Do you have a copy of that,

or can I have somebody make a copy?

MR. DISTASIO: Sure.

MR. PARKER: With regard to the objection that has been raised, the Chair would rule that under the Bylaws of the Corporation this is an Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Under the Bylaws the Annual Meeting shall be held the first Tuesday of February in each year if not a legal holiday, and if a legal holiday then on the next regular secular day following at 6 p.m. when they shall elect a Board of Directors and transact such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting. If the Annual Meeting shall not be called and held during any calendar year, any shareholder may call such meeting at any time thereafter.

First of all, the Board of Directors of this Corporation called the meeting on the date that is specified in the Bylaws. But even if they failed to call the meeting, if a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting at the date and time specified they have the power to go forward and transact business.

So the only issue that remains really unresolved is the issue brought up by Mr. Distasio with regard to whether the stock was validly issued.

First of all, I have an opinion of counsel that it was, in fact, validly issued.

The Board of Directors, prior to the September 14th alleged shareholders meeting, adopted a Resolution in lieu of meeting that was signed by all five directors including Jack Linton and Dr. Aurandt, Dr. Clymer, myself and Dr. Fischer, all five of us signed that, authorizing any officer of the Corporation to sign such documents necessary to implement the Plan of Reorganization without further action of the Board of Directors.

As Chief Operating Officer of the Corporation and as Executive Vice-President or president of the Corporation — depending upon whether you believe the September 14th shareholders and directors meeting to be valid or invalid — I was in a position to execute such documents necessary to implement the Plan.

On September 17th I agree that all the shares of the Corporation were cancelled. Following that I issued shares of the Corporation, in accordance with the Plan, in the same numbers as I had previously distributed to all of the shareholders of this Corporation at various meetings that we have had in accordance with that Plan.

The only issue left before us, in terms of valid shares issued, is the issue of shares for Dr. Aurandt. I was served by a Garnishment Notice by Mr. Massey and several other shareholders of this Corporation garnishing all of Dr. Aurandt's stock. Mr. Hetrick is here who is the legal counsel for those individuals who have a judgment against Dr. Aurandt.

Following the receiving of that

Garnishment Order, I received a letter from Dr.

Aurandt stating that all of the shares to him should
be issued to he and his wife. Under Pennsylvania law
that effectively would have prevented Mr. Hetrick and
his clients from attaching those shares of stock.

After being placed in that position I talked to legal counsel. The legal counsel informed me that if I did it on my own I undoubtedly was participating. If I believed the shares should be to Dr. Aurandt alone and issued them to he and his wife, I would be participating in a fraudulent transaction and not only submit myself to potential damages but the Corporation as well, being the corporate officer who was involved in issuing those shares.

As a result, I issued one share of certificate to Dr. Aurandt and his wife. It was in

response to the fact that one of the original share certificates was issued to Dr. Aurandt and his wife, and I used the same proportion formula for the issuance of those shares as was the case with each of you in the issuance of your shares.

Following our last shareholders meeting Irv Cohen suggested that we have a meeting to see if we could resolve some of the other issues. I invited and, in fact, Jack Linton provided us with copies of the debentures, which I had never had before, which established that Dr. Aurandt's Pension Plan purchased a certain number of shares. I have since issued shares to Dr. Aurandt's Pension Plan in accordance with the evidence that was presented to us.

Several of you have had your own share certificates changed because of the evidence that they presented to us, which were copies of the debentures; that has been handled.

The only issue outstanding, therefore, is about 50 -- what's the exact number of shares?

MS. HENDRICKSON: 50,812.

MR. PARKER: 50,812 shares that, it is my belief based on the evidence at my disposal, should be issued to Dr. Henry N. Aurandt and be subject to the garnishment of Mr. Hetrick. But since

1 I have a letter on file from Dr. Aurandt saying that 2 the shares should be issued to he and his wife and 3 not to him personally, I have placed this matter 4 before the Board of Directors. The Board of 5 Directors today instructed me that following approval 6 of the FCC transfer of control, which I will explain 7 later, that I am to submit this entire matter to the 8 Court for their resolution. I intend to follow that. But I would state at this point that that is the only 9 issue of shares that are outstanding. And I intend 10 to overrule the objection brought forward by Mr. 11 12 Distasio and proceed to conduct the meeting. 13 MR. WOHLBRUCK: May I ask you a 14 question, Mike? 15 MR. PARKER: Yes. 16 MR. WOHLBRUCK: Martin Wohlbruck. 17 Who now holds the seal? 18 MR. PARKER: The answer to the question 19 of that is, at the time of issuance of the shares I 20 requested Mr. Mercer to obtain new share certificates 21 made out in accordance with the certificates you 22 received copies of. On the back of those 23 certificates is also a rather lengthy -- what do you 24 call it on the back of it? It's Meridian Bank

25

language.

1	MR. MERCER: It's a restrictive
2	covenant
3	MR. PARKER: There's the restrictive
4	covenants from Meridian Bank that are printed on the
5	back of those certificates.
6	At the same time I requested that he
7	order a new corporate seal; he did so. The corporate
8	seal of this corporation and the share certificates
9	were issued in accordance with the Plan.
10	MR. MERCER: A corporate seal is no
11	longer a vital legal creature. If necessary you can
12	take a quarter and draw a circle around the quarter
13	and write the word seal in it, and if the corporation
14	intends that to be its seal for that document that is
15	valid for a seal.
16	MR. WOHLBRUCK: I understand that. That
17	was a big question in October.
18	MR. MERCER: I would say right now Jack
19	Linton has a seal, I have a seal, and there's another
20	seal somewhere that I lost, I can't find. It's not
21	an important issue.
22	MR. PARKER: But they were issued at my
23	instructions with a corporate seal on them. So we
24	really come down then to the question of the validity

of the issuance of the shares.

As I said, the Directors authorized the signing of the appropriate corporate documents. They were executed by myself. In fact, since our last meeting on December 31st, I executed the documents with Meridian Bank to effectuate the Plan.

In terms of the implementation of the Plan, all of the share certificates have been issued or accounted for, and there is simply a legal question between Mr. Hetrick and his clients and Dr. Aurandt. The only thing that I care, in terms of the Corporation, is that it be resolved. The shares will be issued. The number is static. We know how many shares we are talking about. We will proceed on that basis and turn it over to the Court. I believe, based on the Judge's discussions today, that that issue will be resolved shortly. 'It is to the Corporation's advantage that we go forward.

I would like at this time to call the roll. I would then like to give you a short report on what has transpired between our last meeting and this. Then I would like to forge ahead with the elections and the one other issue that is on the agenda, and hopefully adjourn the meeting in about a half an hour.

So with that, if there are no other

1	questions on this point, I would like to call the
2	roll. Henry N. Aurandt and Barry E. Graham, Trustees
3	for Henry N. Aurandt, M.D., Professional Corporation,
4	Employees Pension Plan & Trust Agreement; they are
5	absent.
6	Henry N. Aurandt and Helen K. Aurandt as
7	tenants by the entireties; they are absent.
8	The Bank of Pennsylvania, Trustee for
9	Ralph H. Tietbohl, Self-Employed Retirement Plan;
10	they are present by proxy made out from the Bank of
11	Pennsylvania as Trustee for Ralph H. Tietbohl to
12	myself and/or Dr. Clymer. I will give you copies of
13	these, the originals go to the Secretary.
14	Albert R. Boscov. Albert R. Boscov is
15	present by proxy made out to Dr. Clymer and to
16	myself.
17	John R. Bower, Jr., M.D. and Jill L.
18	Bower; they are absent.
19	Ben F. Bowers I was hoping he would
20	be here.
21	Harry Brueckman, absent.
22	Al W. Busby.
23	MR. HETRICK: He is here by proxy made
24	out to me, the original of which I have.
25	MR. PARKER: If you would give us the

1	proxy. If you want a copy I'll get one for you.
2	MR. HETRICK: That's fine.
3	MR. PARKER: Why don't you just give
4	that to Linda behind you, and I will have her bring
5	them up after we get to the rest of them.
6	Robert H. Clymer, M.D. and Fay H. Clymer
7	as tenants by the entireties.
8	DR. CLYMER: I'm here.
9	MR. PARKER: Irv Cohen.
10	MR. COHEN: Present.
11	MR. PARKER: Robert A. Denby, M.D. Dr.
12	Denby is present by proxy made out to Dr. Clymer and
13	myself.
14	Edward C. Fischer and Noni J. Fischer as
15	tenants by the entireties; they are absent.
16	Dolores Gallen, absent.
17	John H. Gallen, absent.
18	Bernard R. Gerber, present.
19	Fred Hollingsworth.
20	David Hyman.
21	Carol Anne Kasko.
22	Helen Kirkpatrick.
23	Jack A. Linton and Bernard R. Gerber,
24	Trustees of the Gerber & Linton Self-Employment
25	Income Plan on behalf of Bernard R. Gerber, present.

Τ	Jack A. Elinton dila bernara k. Gerber,
2	Trustees of the Gerber and Linton Self-Employment
3	Income Plan on behalf of Jack A. Linton, absent.
4	Jack A. Linton and Nancy A. Linton as
5	tenants by the entireties, absent.
6	Roger H. Longenecker, M.D. and L. Carol
7	Longenecker. They are present by proxy made out to
8	Dr. Clymer and to myself wait a minute. That's
9	made out to Dr. Clymer.
10	Barbara MacCallulm.
11	David E. Mann, Sr. and Barbara W. Mann.
12	Harvey L. Massey.
13	MR. HETRICK: He is here by proxy.
14	MR. PARKER: Catherine Z. Morrow is
15	present by proxy made out to Dr. Clymer and myself.
16	Ethlyn Muir.
17	Martin Muir.
18	The National Bank of Boyertown,
19	Custodians of the Proserpi-Moser Plastic Surgery
20	Clinic Profit Sharing Plan
21	Hugh Norris, absent.
22	Mark Norris, absent.
23	Richard M. Palmer, Jr., absent.
24	Partel, Inc. is present.
25	George Pavloff.

1	MR. HETRICK: Yes. He is here by proxy.
2	MR. PARKER: George or Paul?
3	MR. HETRICK: Paul. I'm sorry.
4	MR. PARKER: George is absent. Paul
5	Pavloff is present by proxy?
6	MR. HETRICK: Present by proxy, as is
7	Stella Pavloff-Bull.
8	MR. PARKER: That's the next one on my
9	. list.
10	Sergio V. Proserpi, M.D. and Penelope P.
11	Proserpi are absent as tenants by the entireties.
12	Dr. Adolpho E. Rodriguez is absent.
13	Allison A. Rotenberg, present.
14	Larry A. Rotenberg, Custodian under the
15	Uniform Gifts to Minors' Act for David A. Rotenberg.
16	MRS. ROTENBERG: I have his proxy.
17	MR. PARKER: Okay. If you would turn
18	that in to us.
19	And Larry A. Rotenberg. Is the proxy
20	good for both?
21	MRS. ROTENBERG: I have both, yes.
22	MR. PARKER: And Larry A. Rotenberg and
23	Allison A. Rotenberg.
24	MRS. ROTENBERG: Here I am.
25	MR. PARKER: Donald E. Stoudt, M.D. and

1	Mary Lu Stoudt, absent.
2	I would like you to turn those proxies
3	in so I make sure that you don't vote shares if there
4	is a problem.
5	STV Reading, Inc. is present. As
6	president of STV Reading, Inc. I will vote their
7	shares.
8	Ralph H. Tietbohl, M.D. is present by
9	proxy made out to Dr. Clymer and myself.
10	Joanne V. VanRoden, absent.
11	Patricia J. Verbinski.
12	DR. CLYMER: Excuse me. May I interrupt
13	just to say that Joanne VanRoden had a different name
14	on the original thing. I see it has been corrected.
15	MR. PARKER: It has been. We discussed
16	that with her. Her original name, I believe, was
17	Davis.
18	Patricia J. Verbinski is absent, and
19	Martin Wohlbruck, present.
20	The Chair will rule that a quorum is
21	present for the purposes of conducting business. If
22	I can get you to do you have an adding machine you
23	can bring up here? It will make things go quicker.
24	It may not be necessary. It depends on if we end up
25	with additional nominations.

Let me go through briefly with you what has transpired since the last meeting of the shareholders. The Board of Directors met immediately following that meeting. I have already explained to you that they removed the existing officers. They elected myself as president and Marvin Mercer as Secretary. The \$9,000 was removed from our bank account the following day -- or the \$9,003, which created a severe cash crunch on the Corporation. It was only through the willingness of several of our creditors not to be paid on time, in accordance with the Plan, that we were able to weather that storm and are only now coming to a point where we will be able to pay all of our creditors going forward in accordance with the Plan. But several of them, including Marvin Mercer's firm, were very good to us in terms of that \$9,000 not being a cash crunch upon We do intend to recover it, but we felt it was more important to implement the Plan and get on with the Corporation's business. We can go back and get the 9,000. The Board of Directors instructed me,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

following that meeting, to implement the Plan that I had presented in terms of down-scaling the company.

We have now done that. We moved people from our --

we had already begun the process, but I can report to you that as of January 27th the last employee that we intended to lay off was laid off.

1.7

We have completed our reorganization to the point where we have down-scaled here to master control, an office manager, a secretary and a production manager.

The production department employees were moved into master control so that they can continue to do production for us on projects that we are going to do at various times, but they are actually working as master control operators for the Station.

At the same time, we have cut back on expenses and we have, in effect, reorganized the Company to operate much leaner.

I will send you a shareholders report with a lot more details in it, but clearly we have implemented that portion of the Plan.

In addition to that, we entered a series of negotiations to finalize the documents with Meridian Bank. Marvin Mercer worked for several weeks with attorneys in his office to complete those voluminous documents. I think we ended up with about 2 and a half feet of documents that I signed on New Year's Eve, and we were tied up on both the 30th and

the 31st to accommodate a closing with the bank.

That has now been accomplished, and all of the shares of the Corporation have been escrowed with Meridian Bank. You were all sent a package as part of that agreement. You can choose not to sign it, but we sent you a letter explaining why we suggested that you sign it. Basically, by signing it you obtain the right under the Plan, and in terms of our negotiation with the bank, to be able to buy and sell your shares subject to the lien of the bank.

In addition to that — and Marvin can go into it more if others of you have questions, but what I would like to do is go forward with the business of the meeting. If you have individual questions, he can deal with those with you. But, clearly, we suggested to you that you sign those documents. For my own shares, I have signed the documents and they are with the bank.

In addition to that, part of the negotiations with Meridian Bank -- and I will explain it clearly here -- were that, one, Partel guarantee the loan to the extent of its stock, number one; and two, that one-half of the profits called for under the management agreement would be loaned to RBI and, in turn, paid on the bank's loan. That is now in

place. And starting the 15th of February the

Corporation will be paying me, and I, in turn, will

be giving half the money to Meridian Bank as a loan

to RBI to pay down the bank's debt.

As a result of that transaction, in addition, 6 and a quarter percent of the shares of the Corporation went to Partel, and I issued a warrant from Partel to Meridian Bank that for \$1 they can buy those shares and exercise an option on them at any time they wish. So those shares, while they are voted by Partel, in reality Meridian Bank is the recipient of them at whatever time they choose to do it in the future. And generally a bank would exercise that on a sale where those shares have value and you can utilize that.

The bank, in turn, agreed for us not to have to pay \$500,000 to them as was called for under the Plan. Originally they were to pay — we were to pay them 500,000 when we came out. What they did is took that, added it to the rest of the loan or \$2 million total will be amortized over what? 30 years?

MR. MERCER: 32.

MR. PARKER: Well, it's 2 years interest only. 30 years at 8 percent interest.

But we concluded those negotiations and finalized that portion of the agreement.

So we are basically now down to having our Annual Meeting today, going forward with our plans. Our plans call for two things; one, building a new tower site that will cover Philadelphia, and two, a possible move from this building to Reading Station which we are currently looking at in terms of the validity of that. But if that were to work we would then sell this building and reduce our debt with Meridian Bank.

I believe that really brings you up-to-date with where we are at this point. You heard already that we were -- a suit was filed against us today. We defended that suit. The Judge refused to issue a Temporary Restraining Order, suggested to me that it might be prudent to have a Court Reporter here tonight, and set up a scheduling conference for this coming Thursday.

That pretty much brings you up-to-date on what has happened since our last shareholders meeting.

Tonight I would advocate to you that the current Board of Directors be reelected. And I would further advocate to you that you adopt a Resolution

1	establishing 420,000 shares, 10 percent par value, as
2	the number of shares authorized by the Corporation in
3	that under the Reorganization Plan the articles were
4	amended and authorized the issuance of whatever
5	shares were necessary to implement the Plan.
6	I believe what's the exact number of
7	shares we have to issue to implement the Plan? 418
8	or 419,000, I believe.
9	MS. HENDRICKSON: 419,038.
10	MR. PARKER: So we rounded that off to
11	420 only to keep our filings with the State of
12	Pennsylvania in accordance with the Bankruptcy Plan.
13	DR. CLYMER: May I suggest that it might
14	be prudent to not cut it quite so close. There is no
15	harm to authorize more shares such as 500,000 or
16	480,000.
17	MR. MERCER: Dr. Clymer, I hate to cut
18	you off, but the problem is, the documentation we
19	signed with the bank doesn't allow us to increase our
20	authorized shares without their approval.
21	DR. CLYMER: Then you would have to go
22	through that and get approval later.
23	MR. PARKER: That's correct. We can
2.4	come back at a subsequent shareholders meeting.
25	Those are the two issues. The first

T	132de ou the adelia 12 the efection of directors.
2	Management has offered to you Robert Clymer, M.D.,
3	Irvin Cohen, the Reverend Frank McCracken, Judge H.
4	Henry.
5	MS. HENDRICKSON: No. Myron.
6	MR. PARKER: He told us how he wanted it
7	listed at today's meeting. I'm sorry I was did
8	you write it down?
9	MR. MERCER: It was M. Charles Rose.
10	MR. PARKER: M. Charles Rose, okay, and
11	Mike Parker as directors for the next year. Is there
12	a motion to nominate them?
13	DR. CLYMER: So moved.
14	MR. PARKER: It has been moved by Dr.
15	Clymer that those names be placed in nomination. Are
16	there further nominations?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. PARKER: Are there any further
19	nominations?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. PARKER: Are there any further
22	nominations? If not the Chair would entertain a
23	motion that nominations be closed.
24	MR. COHEN: I make a motion.
25	MR. PARKER: It has been moved by Irv

1	Cohen that nominations be closed. Is there a second?
2	MR. WOHLBRUCK: Second.
3	MR. PARKER: Seconded by Mr. Wohlbruck.
4	All in favor signify by saying aye.
5	VOICES: Aye.
6	MR. PARKER: Opposed, no?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. PARKER: The ayes have it and the
9	motion carries.
10	The Chair would entertain a motion that
11	the Secretary be instructed to cast a unanimous
12	ballot for the nominations to the Board of Directors.
13	MR. WOHLBRUCK: I move.
14	MR. PARKER: Mr. Wohlbruck moves. Is
15	there a second?
16	DR. CLYMER: Second.
17	MR. PARKER: Seconded by Dr. Clymer.
18	Is there any discussion?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. PARKER: If not, all those in favor
21	of the motion will signify by saying aye.
22	VOICES: Aye.
23	MR. PARKER: Opposed, no?
24	(No response.)
25	MR. PARKER: The ayes have it and the

1	motion carries.
2	I want to be real careful, though. Is
3	there anything in State law that prevents me from
4	doing that?
5	MR. MERCER: Not to my knowledge. Why
6	don't you take the roll call anyway. What do you
7	have to lose?
8	MR. PARKER: With everybody's
9	indulgence, I want to make sure I don't make a
10	mistake that will come back to haunt us and cost us
11	money in the future. With your indulgence, I'll just
12	run down the list real quick.
13	The motion is to cast the ballots
14	present for the five nominees. I'll just go down it
15	The Bank of Pennsylvania, Trustee for Ralph H.
16	Tietbohl, I and Dr. Clymer hold the proxy, and we
17	would vote aye on 1,809 shares.
18	Albert R. Boscov, 3,243 shares, proxy
19	held by Dr. Clymer and myself, and we would vote aye
20	Al W. Busby, 2,725. Mr. Hetrick, you
21	hold the proxy.
22	MR. HETRICK: I vote aye.
23	MR. PARKER: Robert H. Clymer, M.D. and
24	Fay H. Clymer as tenants by the entireties.
25	DR. CLYMER: Aye.